

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/791,391	03/02/2004	Alexander C. Chan	J6887(C)	5144	
201	7590 11/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
UNILEVER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 700 SYLVAN AVENUE,			ELHILO,	ELHILO, EISA B	
BLDG C2 SC	,		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS, NJ 07632-3100			1751		

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/791,391	CHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eisa B. Elhilo	1751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apprehension of the second for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Se	eptember 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-16 and 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	 Claim(s) 1-8, 10-16 and 18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8,10-16 and 18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form 1 TO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/791,391 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

1 This action is responsive to the amendment filed on September 12, 2005.

The cancellation of claims 9 and 17, is acknowledged. Pending claims are 1-8, 10-16 and

18.

The obviousness-type double patenting rejection is maintained, for the reasons set forth in the previous office action mailed on August 5, 2005.

New ground of rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3 Claims 1-8, 10, 13, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarojini et al. (US 2003/0154562 A1).

Sarojini et al (US' 562 A1) teaches a method for coloring hair comprising applying to the hair a mixture of oxidative dye precursors such as para-phenylenediamine followed by contacting the hair with a mixture of oxidizing agents such as peroxide and alkali metal salt persulfates as claimed in claims 1-4 (see page 10, claim 1 and page 5, paragraph, 0096), the method wherein the primary intermediates are presented in the amounts of 0.001 to 5% which overlapped with the claimed range as claimed in claim 5 (see page 2, paragraph, 0041), the method wherein the oxidizing mixture comprising hydrogen peroxide as claimed in claim 10 (see

Application/Control Number: 10/791,391

Art Unit: 1751

page 5, paragraph, 0096). Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) also teaches similar kit as claimed in claims 13 and 16 (see paragraph, 11, claim 17).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting the weight ratio of persulfate salt to peroxide to be in the range of 1 to about 4 as claimed.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such a composition for dyeing hair by obtaining the claimed weight ratio of persufates to peroxide in the composition to arrive at the claimed composition because the reference clearly teaches that a mixture of oxidizing compounds (peroxides and persulfates) are presented in the percentage amounts of 0.1 to 1.5% (see page 3, paragraph, 0061 and 5, paragraph, 0096), and thus a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to optimize ratio of the oxidizing compounds in the dyeing composition in order to get the maximum effective amounts, absent unexpected results.

With respect to claims 6 -8, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize such a composition for dyeing hair by selecting the dye precursors in the anionic or nonionic form and to form an aqueous developers that comprises a powder persulfates salt because the reference generally teaches and discloses similar dye precursors such as para-phenylenediamines and oxidizing agents (developers) such as hydrogen peroxide and alkali-metal persulfates (see page, 3, paragraph, 0079 and page 5, paragraph, 0096), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to formulate such a dyeing composition for dyeing hair and would expect such a composition to have similar properties and similar results to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Art Unit: 1751

4 Claims 11,12 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarojini et al. (US 2003/0154562 A1) in view of Dias (US 6,540,791 B1)

The disclosure of Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) as described above, does not teach or disclose the aligning and distributing means as claimed.

Dias (US' 791 B1) in analogous art of hair dyeing formulation, teaches a method for dyeing hair comprising applying to the hair a distributinh means such as comb and brush (see col. 49, lines 25-27)

Therefore, in view of teaching of the secondary reference, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply to the hair an aligning and distributing means such as brushes or combs with a reasonable expectation of success because Dias (US' 791) clearly teaches that the composition may be applied directly to the hair or via some vehicle such as brushes, combs or applicators (see col. 49, lines 25-27), and, thus, a person of the ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to apply such a vehicle as taught by Dias (US' 791 B1) in the method described be Sarojini et al. (US' 562 A1) and would expect such a method to have similar properties to those claimed, absent unexpected results.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

5 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 10, 13, 16 and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eisa B. Elhilo whose telephone number is (571) 272-1315. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F (8:00 -5:30) with alternate Friday off.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,391 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eisa Elhilo Patent Examiner

Art unit 1751

November 1, 2005